Case 5:05-cv-01878-JW Document 29 Filed 05/03/06 Page 1 of 4 G:\docs\p2977\04013461.DOC 1 Robert G. Levy (081024) Thomas R. Beer (148175) 2 Angèle Motlagh (228588) DISTR BARGER & WOLEN LLP 650 California Street, 9th Floor 3 San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 434-2800 IT IS SO ORDERE Facsimile: (415) 434-2533 Email: rlevy@barwol.com 5 Email: tbeer@barwol.com Email: wlee@barwol.com 6 Attorneys for Counter-Claim Defendant 7 NATIONAL UNION FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA 8 Marc J. Shrake (219331) 9 Georgiana V. Palanca (198848) ZELLE, HOFMANN, VOELBEL, 10 MASON & GETTE LLP 660 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1900 11 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 895-4150 12 Facsimile: (213) 895-4155 Email: mshrake@zelle.com 13 Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claim Plaintiff 14 ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE **COMPANY** 15 Stephen L. Newton (57897) 16 Melissa A. Dubbs (163650) **NEWTON REMMEL** 17 A Professional Corporation 1451 Grant Road 18 Post Office Box 1059 Mountain View, California 94042 19 Telephone: (650) 903-0500 Facsimile: (650) 967-5800 20 Email: snewton@newtonremmel.com Email: mdubbs@newtonremmel.com 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Claim 22 Defendant FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY 23 /// 24 111 25 /// 26 111 27 111 28 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER -1-

Case 5:05-cv-01878-JW Document 29 Filed 05/03/06 Page 2 of 4 G:\docs\p2977\04013461.DOC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 SAN JOSE DIVISION 3 4 Case No.: C-05-01878 JW FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an 5 Indiana corporation, JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT 6 CONFERENCE STATEMENT (F.R.C.P. Plaintiff, 26(f) AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 7 v. May 8, 2006 Date: 8 10:00 a.m. ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE Time: INSURANCE COMPANY, a Minnesota Courtroom: 8 9 None corporation, Trial Date: 10 Defendant. 11 ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE 12 INSURANCE COMPANY, a Minnesota corporation, 13 Counter-Claim Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Indiana corporation, and NATIONAL UNION 16 FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA, a Pennsylvania 17 corporation. 18 Counter-Claim Defendants. 19 20 Defendant and Counter-Claim Plaintiff ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE 21 COMPANY ("St. Paul") and Plaintiff and Cross-Claim Defendant FEDERAL INSURANCE 22 COMPANY ("Federal") and Cross-Claim Defendant NATIONAL UNION FIRE 23 INSURANCE COMPANY OF PITTSBURGH, PA ("National Union") present the following 24 Further Joint Case Management Conference Statement in this case. 25 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE 26 This is an insurance coverage action that arises out of underlying litigation brought by 27 Fujitsu Limited against Cirrus Logic, Inc. ("Cirrus Logic"), the insured of the parties to the 28 JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER -22
3
4

5

present action. This is a dispute over the amount of money that the insurance companies who are the parties in this case are required to contribute to the settlement of an underlying lawsuit against their insured, Cirrus Logic. St. Paul, Federal, and National Union issued policies of insurance to Cirrus Logic. The Federal policy is excess to the St. Paul policy, and the National Union policy is excess to the Federal policy and the St. Paul policy.

DISCLOSURES

1. The parties have exchanged disclosures for all categories under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).

DISCOVERY

- 2. The parties are engaged in discovery. Federal sent out its first set of discovery to St. Paul and received responses, which it has reviewed. Federal is in the process of meeting and conferring with St. Paul's counsel regarding its responses.
 - 3. St. Paul expects to propound discovery to Federal in the next five days.
- 4. Moreover, as previously disclosed to the Court, the parties jointly obtained a complete copy of the database created in the Underlying Action. The database created in the Underlying Action contains literally millions of pages of documents. These documents include: (1) highly technical lab tests, results and analysis; (2) depositions with hundreds of exhibits attached; and (3) hundreds of thousands of email messages, memos and letters dealing with the fabrication, placement and failure of the at-issue chips. The review of that database has been challenging. However, the parties have almost completed that review.
- 5. The parties request that the Court not set a trial date or any other litigation deadlines at this time. The parties would request that the Case Management Conference be continued for another 30 days so that the parties can fully complete their review of the database, which will assist the parties in streamlining the discovery process in this action, and complete their initial discovery. The parties will then be in a better position to meaningfully discuss the

	Case 5:05-cv-01878-JW Docume	nt 29 Filed 0	05/03/06		of 4 G:\docs\p2977\04013461.DOC	
1	scheduling of any motions for summary judgment and/or partial summary judgment, a trial					
2	date, and other litigation deadlines.					
3	Dated: April 24, 2006		BARGER & WOLEN, LLP			
4						
5		By:	Robert G	s/ Levv		
6			Thomas I	R. Beer		
7			Angele M Attorneys	s for Cou	nter-Claim	
8					ONAL UNION CE COMPANY OF	
9			PITTSBU			
10	Dated: April 24, 2006	7FI I I	F НОЕМ.	ANN V(DELBEL, MASON	
11	Dated. April 24, 2000		ZELLE, HOFMANN, VOELBEL, MASON & GETTE, LLP			
12				,		
13		By:	/s Marc J. S			
14			Georgian	a V. Pala s for Def	anca endant and Counter-	
15			Claim Pl	aintiff ST	r. PAUL FIRE AND ANCE COMPANY	
16						
17	Dated: April 24, 2006	NEWT	TON REM	IMEL		
18		Ву: _	Nelis	m T	1.102	
19		Бу	Stephen			
20				s for Pla	intiff and Counter-	
21					EDERAL OMPANY	
22						
23	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER					
24	The Further Case Management S	tatement and P	Proposed C	order is h	ereby adopted by the	
25	Court. The Case Management Conferen	ice is continued	l until	ine 19, 2	2006 at 10:00am	
26			7			
27	Dated: May 3 , 2000		fam	es /	bre	
28	JOINT CASE MANAGEMEN	TH T STATEMENT AN	HE HONO ND [PROPOS		JAMES WARE R -4-	
		-				